



MODINE MANUFACTURING COMPANY INC

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	8
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	8
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	8
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	8
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	9
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	9
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	10
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	10
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	12
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	12
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.	13
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	33
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	34
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	36
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	36
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	37
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	38
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	38
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	46
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	47
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	48
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	49
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	50

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities 53

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? 53

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. 54

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks. 64

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? 65

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)? 65

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by? 65

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? 66

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. 66

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities. 76

C4. Governance 78

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? 78

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? 78

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. 79

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? 82

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization? 84

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). 85

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? 89

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). 90

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues? 96

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. 96

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? 100

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? 101

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? 102

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	102
--	-----

C5. Business strategy 105

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	105
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.	105
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.	110
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	111
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	112
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.	112
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	117
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?	121
(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?	121
(5.5.2) Provide details of your organization’s investments in low-carbon R&D for capital goods products and services over the last three years.	122
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?.....	122
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	123
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	124
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	124
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	127
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?	129
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	130
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.	132
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	136
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.	141
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?	147
(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.....	147

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 155

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	155
--	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 157

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	157
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	157
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	158
(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?....	158
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	159
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	159
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?.....	160
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.	160
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	163
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	165
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	168
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	171
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	178
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?.....	178
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	178
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?.....	184
(7.11) How do your total Scope 3 emissions for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?.....	184
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?.....	185
(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.	185
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?.....	185
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).	185
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	187
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	193

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.	193
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	215
(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.	215
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	233
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	234
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	234
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	235
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	235
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	235
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	236
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.	239
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	239
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	245
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.	247
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	253
(7.34) Does your organization measure the efficiency of any of its products or services?.....	263
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	263
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	264
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	265
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	265
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	269
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.	269
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	274
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	274
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	274
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	282
(7.71) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of any of its products or services?	283

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?	284
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	284
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.	284
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	286

C9. Environmental performance - Water security..... 287

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?	287
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	287
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	293
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	296
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	297
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	300
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	302
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.	303
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	316
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?	319
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.	319
(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.	319
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	320
(9.13.1) What percentage of your company’s revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	321
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	324
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?	324
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.	324
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.	325

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics..... 328

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?	328
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.	328

(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content. 331

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content. 331

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used..... 332

(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways. ... 332

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity 334

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? 334

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? 334

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year? 334

C13. Further information & sign off 336

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? 336

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. 336

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website..... 337

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

For more than 100 years, Modine has solved the toughest thermal management challenges for mission-critical applications. Our purpose of Engineering a Cleaner, Healthier World™ means we are always evolving our portfolio of technologies to provide the latest heating, cooling, and ventilation solutions. Through the hard work of more than 11,000 employees worldwide, our Climate Solutions and Performance Technologies segments advance our purpose with systems that improve air quality, reduce energy and water consumption, lower harmful emissions, enable cleaner running vehicles, and use environmentally friendly refrigerants. Modine is a global company headquartered in Racine, Wisconsin (U.S.), with operations in North America, South America, Europe, and Asia. In July 2025, the company announced the publication of its 2025 Sustainability Report and 2030 sustainability targets. The report highlights continued efforts to reduce emissions, conserve resources, foster a culture of safety and ethical behavior, and partner with customers and suppliers. The centerpiece of Modine's 2030 targets – a 30% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions from operations based on a 2018 baseline – builds on long-standing 80/20 efficiency efforts, supports customers' decarbonization goals, and demonstrates commitment to sustainable value creation. The company will review progress on these targets and provide updates in future sustainability reports and CDP responses.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

04/01/2025

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

5 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

5 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

Not providing past emissions data for Scope 3

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

607828100

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

MOD

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

6092555

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for all facilities	<i>All manufacturing locations are publicly available.</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Racine

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.710443

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.797049

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lawrenceburg 1

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.266791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.329944

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

MOC

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.8

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.1

(1.8.1.4) Comment

CN

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Joplin

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.082876

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.556328

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Nuevo Laredo

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.465195

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.533235

(1.8.1.4) Comment

MX

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

MTSS

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.02

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.2

(1.8.1.4) Comment

CN

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

MTSI

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.916949

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

79.903665

(1.8.1.4) Comment

India

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Buena Vista

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.725918

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.361047

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wuxi

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.5

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.4

(1.8.1.4) Comment

CN

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grenada- CCP

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.825347

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.797819

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lawrenceburg 2

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.264529

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.327031

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Torreglia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.333758

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.750002

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Italy

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gyongyos

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.754539

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.950764

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Hungary

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Leeds

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.844229

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.668217

(1.8.1.4) Comment

UK

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.422254

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.382646

(1.8.1.4) Comment

BR

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Soderkoping

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

58.477991

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.343485

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Sweden

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jefferson City

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.564648

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-92.28296

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

West Kingston

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.47999

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.572555

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Guadalajara

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.658645

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.177772

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Spain

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Ramos

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.540925

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.920035

(1.8.1.4) Comment

MX

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mezcoved 1

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.792048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.575382

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Hungary

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Uden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.658238

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.647793

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Netherlands

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Amaro

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.371395

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.079704

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Italy

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

San Vito

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.93685

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

12.883123

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Italy

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Consett

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

54.863192

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.823034

(1.8.1.4) Comment

UK

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Trenton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.09322

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.611454

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sremska

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.976557

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.6409

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Serbia

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Jacksonville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.941667

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.26332

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

MTSC

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.8

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

119.8

(1.8.1.4) Comment

CN

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mezcovesd 2

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Hungary

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grenada- OEM

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.725693

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.783722

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

MPC

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.6

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

119.2

(1.8.1.4) Comment

CN

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pocenia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.831909

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.107935

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Italy

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bonlanden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.644764

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.23076

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Germany

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Louisville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.234764

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.77833

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pontevico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.273036

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

10.123519

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Italy

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juarez

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.624216

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.421999

(1.8.1.4) Comment

MX

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Longview

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.941705

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.263335

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Calgary IAQ

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.034149

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-114.036084

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Canada

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Calgary DC1

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.990946

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-113.912352

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Canada

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Rockbridge

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.758573

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.483243

(1.8.1.4) Comment

US

[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

We utilize the IQ Plus module from EcoVadis to map our sustainability risks. It maps out your supply chain by analyzing procurement data, supplier profiles, and sustainability documentation to classify risk levels and identify priority areas. In preparation for CSRD we have also mapped our supply chain through our double materiality efforts.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain

(1.24.1.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain
- End-of-life management

(1.24.1.4) End-of-life management pathways mapped

Select all that apply

- Recycling
- Landfill

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Financial Planning Objectives, Business Strategy

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Financial Planning Objectives, Business Strategy

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

No

(2.1.3) To (years)

7

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Financial Planning Objectives, Business Strategy

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- EcoVadis
- WRI Aqueduct

International methodologies and standards

- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- External consultants

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Tornado
- Heat waves
- Toxic spills
- Pollution incident
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- Water stress
- Soil degradation
- Groundwater depletion
- Water quality at a basin/catchment level
- Increased severity of extreme weather events
- Increased levels of environmental pollutants in freshwater bodies

- ✓ Declining water quality
- ✓ Temperature variability

Policy

- ✓ Increased pricing of water
- ✓ Regulation of discharge quality/volumes
- ✓ Poor enforcement of environmental regulation
- ✓ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits
- ✓ Increased difficulty in obtaining water withdrawals permit
- ✓ Statutory water withdrawal limits/changes to water allocation
- ✓ Mandatory water efficiency, conservation, recycling, or process standards
- ✓ Introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants

Market

- ✓ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- ✓ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- ✓ Changing customer behavior
- ✓ Uncertainty in the market signals

Reputation

- ✓ Impact on human health
- ✓ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

Technology

- ✓ Transition to water efficient and low water intensity technologies and products
- ✓ Transition to water intensive, low carbon energy sources
- ✓ Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

- ✓ Exposure to litigation
- ✓ Moratoria and voluntary agreement
- ✓ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators

- Local communities
- Water utilities at a local level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Databases

- Nation-specific databases, tools, or standards

Other

- Desk-based research
- External consultants

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Tornado
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Heat stress
- Increased severity of extreme weather events
- Water stress

Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

- Impact on human health

Technology

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Adding Climate Change into our ISO14001 risk assessment for aspects and impacts. Integrated GIS locations into ArcGIS mapping tools to overlay water stress areas, biodiversity hotspots, UNESCO World Heritage and Ramsar Sites, Drought Intensity and storm/forecast layers. Reviewed annually in September. Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming

scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming

scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Frequency of effect occurring

Time horizon over which the effect occurs

Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming

scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Frequency of effect occurring

Time horizon over which the effect occurs

Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Modine applies structured processes to identify and assess climate-related risks as part of the broader ERM process. Each year, the ERM Committee conducts a risk survey, the results of which are shared with executive leadership and the Board. From this survey, high-impact risks across business operations are identified and assessed. Physical and transition climate risks are factored into the organization's risk analysis. These processes are designed to capture the most material, current and emerging risks and develop mitigation plans where appropriate. Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons. The newly identified risks are prioritized relative to other enterprise risks. Modine also integrates existing and emerging regulatory requirements into the company's risk assessment process to ensure policy and legal risks are appropriately prioritized.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

*Identification and classification of potential water pollutants are dictated by our stormwater regulations and permits in the states and countries we operate in.
[Fixed row]*

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Inorganic pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Activities that are potential sources of inorganic stormwater contamination (trace metals such as Copper, Lead, Aluminum and Zinc) include outside storage of materials, loading and unloading materials at receiving docks, and roof top runoff. Control practices include isolation of industrial materials from stormwater, inspection of materials before they are placed in outdoor storage areas, organization of materials in an orderly manner to facilitate periodic inspection for leaks/spills, and periodic inspection of outside storage areas as a precautionary measure. Potential impacts are minimal since there is no risk of large vessel or catastrophic failures.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Upgrading of process equipment/methods
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Best Management Practices include both government and Modine-specific BMP's, including "Good Housekeeping", Preventative Maintenance", "Visual Inspections", "Spill Prevention and Response", "Erosion Control", and "Runoff Management". In addition, Modine-specific BMP's include "Minimization Potential" requiring waste minimization plans and practices affecting a potential source of storm water pollution. The methods may include elimination, chemical substitution, recycling and other appropriate waste minimization activities.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

The transport, transfer, storage and use of petroleum products is incidental to the manufacturing process. Lubricants held in drums and totes make up the majority of inventory at our sites. Larger inventories require site-specific contingency planning. All sites have clean-up supplies in the vicinity of our largest risk materials and require weekly or monthly inspections.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience
- Resource recovery
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Best Management Practices include both government and Modine-specific BMP's, including "Good Housekeeping", Preventative Maintenance", "Visual Inspections", "Spill Prevention and Response", "Erosion Control", and "Runoff Management". In addition, Modine-specific BMP's include "Minimization Potential" requiring waste minimization plans and practices affecting a potential source of storm water pollution. The methods may include elimination, chemical substitution, recycling and other appropriate waste minimization activities.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

We are furthering our identification of risks in this area as we look ahead to further implementing our work around TCFD recommendations and completing double materiality assessments in preparation for CSRD.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

We are furthering our identification of risks in this area as we look ahead to further implementing our work around TCFD recommendations and completing double materiality assessments in preparation for CSRD.

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Increased need for operational resilience measures

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased capital expenditures

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

Establish organization-wide targets

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

- Other acute physical risk, please specify :Hazard exposure (e.g. cyclone, river flooding, extreme heat)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- China
- India
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based

physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased capital expenditures

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

- Establish organization-wide targets

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Stranded and uninsurable assets

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- China
- India

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased capital expenditures

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased capital expenditures

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

- Engage with customers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

- Revenue

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant	Limited instances of late reporting at a small number of facilities.

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Modine’s management structure ensures that climate-related risks and opportunities are properly integrated into overall business processes and strategy. Management has codified Modine’s approach to climate-related risks and opportunities in the company’s purpose statement (“Engineering a Cleaner, Healthier World”) and mission statement directives to reduce water and energy consumption, improve indoor air quality, use environmentally friendly refrigerants, enable cleaner vehicles, and lower harmful emissions through product development strategies centered on efficiency and decarbonization. Modine’s executive leadership team – which includes the President and Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer; Vice President of Human Resources; General Counsel; and the Presidents of the Climate Solutions and Performance Technologies segments – serves as the company’s Sustainability Committee, with ultimate responsibility and authority for the company’s approach to sustainability and climate risk management. Modine’s General Counsel leads the company’s Legal, Compliance, and Sustainability teams, providing guidance to the Board of Directors, and ensures proper executive oversight of all sustainability-related programs, priorities, and targets. The General Counsel also coordinates the executive leadership team’s oversight, review, and approval of all sustainability-related programs, ensuring effective management alignment around key climate risks and opportunities. In addition to a dedicated function responsible for sustainability program management, the company has established cross-function working teams and advisory groups to execute on approved strategic initiatives and foster alignment with business objectives and stakeholder input. The Sustainability Core Team, comprised of key function leaders, was established to further embed sustainability across operations by coordinating climate strategy and reporting to senior leadership on progress. Employee-led subcommittees of the Sustainability Steering Committee work to further integrate sustainability throughout the organization by focusing on climate-related topics across a number of verticals. With cross-functional collaboration between business units and concrete performance tracking via internal reporting structures, management stays informed on all climate-related topics. All critical environmental metrics

are tracked using the Cority platform that is managed by the Environmental, Health, and Safety team. To ensure all relevant parties are up to date on the latest data, environmental metrics are shared with facilities and key stakeholders monthly. Additionally, progress reports including any emerging/relevant risks, are shared quarterly with all business entities and leaders.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Italy
- Spain
- Brazil
- Hungary
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Canada
- Mexico
- Serbia
- Sweden
- Germany

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Medium-term

Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Positive

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Modine is committed to delivering trusted, high-performance solutions that drive sustainability while conserving natural resources. Through advanced thermal management technologies, energy-efficient product innovations, and a strong governance framework, we help industries reduce their environmental impact without compromising performance. Our investment in research and development, compliance with evolving global regulations, and sustainable procurement practices ensure that we meet the highest standards of efficiency and responsibility. By innovating and aligning with customer needs, we remain dedicated to engineering solutions that support a cleaner, healthier world.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Mexico

United States of America

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Rio Grande

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Positive

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Modine is committed to delivering trusted, high-performance solutions that drive sustainability while conserving natural resources. Through advanced thermal management technologies, energy-efficient product innovations, and a strong governance framework, we help industries reduce their environmental impact without compromising performance. Our investment in research and development, compliance with evolving global regulations, and sustainable procurement practices ensure that we meet the highest standards of efficiency and responsibility. By innovating and aligning with customer needs, we remain dedicated to engineering solutions that support a cleaner, healthier world.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

Stronger competitive advantage

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

With support from a third-party firm, Modine undertook strategic efforts to further identify and contextualize several climate-related risks and opportunities likely to affect operations, financial performance, and strategic positioning over various future time horizons. To accurately model climate implications, both physical and transition analyses were conducted to thoroughly assess critical risks and opportunities. A leading climate technology platform was used to conduct scenario-based physical risk analysis, assessing the impacts, risks, and opportunities for the company's most material sites and facilities across a range of plausible futures. This approach enabled the identification and prioritization of the most material climate-related physical risks and opportunities. Additionally, the company identified and assessed the most critical transition risks and opportunities over the short, medium, and long term.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term
- The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Positive

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Modine is committed to delivering trusted, high-performance solutions that drive sustainability while conserving natural resources. Through advanced thermal management technologies, energy-efficient product innovations, and a strong governance framework, we help industries reduce their environmental impact without compromising performance. Our investment in research and development, compliance with evolving global regulations, and sustainable procurement practices ensure that we meet the highest standards of efficiency and responsibility. By innovating and aligning with customer needs, we remain dedicated to engineering solutions that support a cleaner, healthier world.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

- Move to more energy/resource efficient buildings

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Canada
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Positive

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Our facilities are implementing site-specific initiatives that enhance operational efficiency and reduce emissions. These targeted efforts, aligned with our larger decarbonization goals, demonstrate how our sustainability strategy is put into practice at the facility level — translating corporate targets into measurable local action. Our hierarchy prioritizes energy reductions, efficiency and optimization while allowing for purchasing carbon-free energy or credits to close the remaining gaps. These efforts have contributed to a 23% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2025, even as our business has grown. Over the same period, our emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2 CO2e/\$ million sales) dropped by 46%, underscoring our ability to decouple growth from emissions.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Water

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

OPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

*Based on risk and opportunity exercises and our double materiality assessment, we do not believe this is a material topic for our organization at this time.
[Fixed row]*

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board Terms of Reference

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Modine Board of Directors has ultimate oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities as part of its broader oversight responsibilities for enterprise risk management (ERM) and long-term sustainability strategy, which are directly managed by the executive leadership team. The Corporate Governance and Nominating

Committee has the most climate-related oversight, with additional Sustainability-related responsibilities delegated across multiple board committees. Specific roles of the committees are as follows: • The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee oversees sustainability strategy, climate-related risks and opportunities, and long-term sustainability initiatives, while supporting the Board’s oversight of related strategy, risk management, and major investments. • The Audit Committee reviews and approves publicly reported sustainability metrics and assesses progress against Modine’s targets. • The Human Capital and Compensation Committee ensures that Modine’s social initiatives are aligned with and support the Company’s wider sustainability goals. • The Technology Committee supports sustainable product development and oversees climate-related research and development activities. The Board of Directors receives regular updates on climate-related risks and opportunities through quarterly board meetings and committee reports. An annual State of Compliance review is shared, detailing the company’s approach to managing emerging climate, product, compliance regulations across the globe. Sustainability and compliance communications with the Board are led by the General Counsel, who also serves as Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, with support from function leaders. Modine businesses develop long-term strategies in the context of climate-related risks and opportunities, which are shared with the Board. Actions to address these risks and opportunities, such as long-term targets, capital allocation, and product development cycles, are also communicated to the Board, who provides additional oversight in these areas.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions’ accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions’ accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Board Terms of Reference

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement
- Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
- Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Modine Board of Directors has ultimate oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities as part of its broader oversight responsibilities for enterprise risk management (ERM) and long-term sustainability strategy, which are directly managed by the executive leadership team. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee has the most climate-related oversight, with additional Sustainability-related responsibilities delegated across multiple board committees. Specific roles of the committees are as follows: • The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee oversees sustainability strategy, climate-related risks and opportunities, and long-term sustainability initiatives, while supporting the Board's oversight of related strategy, risk management, and major investments. • The Audit Committee reviews and approves publicly reported sustainability metrics and assesses progress against Modine's targets. • The Human Capital and Compensation Committee ensures that Modine's social initiatives are aligned with and support the Company's wider sustainability goals. • The Technology Committee supports sustainable product development and oversees climate-related research and development activities. The Board of Directors receives regular updates on climate-related risks and opportunities through quarterly board meetings and committee reports. An annual State of Compliance review is shared, detailing the company's approach to managing emerging climate, product, compliance regulations across the globe. Sustainability and compliance communications with the Board are led by the General Counsel, who also serves as Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, with support from function leaders. Modine businesses develop long-term strategies in the context of climate-related risks and opportunities, which are shared with the Board. Actions to address these risks and opportunities, such as long-term targets, capital allocation, and product development cycles, are also communicated to the Board, who provides additional oversight in these areas.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

- Postgraduate education (e.g., MSc/MA/PhD in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, forestry, etc.), please specify :Master of Science and Ph.D in Mechanical Engineering

Experience

- Active member of an environmental committee or organization
- Experience in an academic role focused on environmental issues
- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Experience in the environmental department of a government (national or local)
- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

- Postgraduate education (e.g., MSc/MA/PhD in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, forestry, etc.), please specify

Experience

- Active member of an environmental committee or organization
- Experience in an academic role focused on environmental issues
- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Experience in the environmental department of a government (national or local)
- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

*Based on risk and opportunity exercises and our double materiality assessment, we do not believe this is a material topic for our organization at this time.
[Fixed row]*

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

Chief Compliance Officer (CCO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ✓ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ✓ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions
- ✓ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- ✓ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ✓ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ✓ Developing a climate transition plan
- ✓ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ✓ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ✓ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ✓ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ✓ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Modine's management structure ensures that climate-related risks and opportunities are properly integrated into overall business processes and strategy. Management has codified Modine's approach to climate-related risks and opportunities in the company's purpose statement ("Engineering a Cleaner, Healthier World") and mission statement directives to reduce water and energy consumption, improve indoor air quality, use environmentally friendly refrigerants, enable cleaner vehicles, and lower harmful emissions through product development strategies centered on efficiency and decarbonization. Modine's executive leadership team – which includes the President and Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer; Vice President of Human Resources; General Counsel; and the Presidents of the Climate Solutions and Performance Technologies segments – serves as the company's Sustainability Committee, with ultimate responsibility and authority for the company's approach to sustainability and climate risk management. Modine's General Counsel leads the company's Legal, Compliance, and Sustainability teams, providing guidance to the Board of Directors, and ensures proper executive oversight of all sustainability-related programs, priorities, and targets. The General Counsel also coordinates the executive leadership team's oversight, review, and approval of all sustainability-related programs, ensuring effective management alignment around key climate risks and opportunities. In addition to a dedicated function responsible for sustainability program management, the company has established cross-function working teams and advisory groups to execute on approved strategic initiatives and foster alignment with business objectives and stakeholder input. The Sustainability Core Team, comprised of key function leaders, was established to further embed sustainability across operations by coordinating climate strategy and reporting to senior leadership on progress. Employee-led subcommittee

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Compliance Officer (CCO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ✓ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ✓ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions
- ✓ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- ✓ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ✓ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ✓ Developing a climate transition plan
- ✓ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ✓ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ✓ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ✓ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ✓ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Modine's management structure ensures that climate-related risks and opportunities are properly integrated into overall business processes and strategy. Management has codified Modine's approach to climate-related risks and opportunities in the company's purpose statement ("Engineering a Cleaner, Healthier World") and mission statement directives to reduce water and energy consumption, improve indoor air quality, use environmentally friendly refrigerants, enable cleaner vehicles, and lower harmful emissions through product development strategies centered on efficiency and decarbonization. Modine's executive leadership team – which includes the President and Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer; Vice President of Human Resources; General Counsel; and the Presidents of the Climate Solutions and Performance Technologies segments – serves as the company's Sustainability Committee, with ultimate responsibility and authority for the company's approach to sustainability and climate risk management. Modine's General Counsel leads the company's Legal, Compliance, and Sustainability teams, providing guidance to the Board of Directors, and ensures proper executive oversight of all sustainability-related programs, priorities, and targets. The General Counsel also coordinates the executive leadership team's oversight, review, and approval of all sustainability-related programs, ensuring effective management alignment around key climate risks and opportunities. In addition to a dedicated function responsible for sustainability program management, the company has established cross-function working teams and advisory groups to execute on approved strategic initiatives and foster alignment with business objectives and stakeholder input. The Sustainability Core Team, comprised of key function leaders, was established to further embed sustainability across operations by coordinating climate strategy and reporting to senior leadership on progress. Employee-led subcommittee

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Modine does not currently plan to establish monetary incentives for our executive leadership team and board linked to management of environmental issues. Our General Counsel/Chief Compliance Officer, who is a member of our executive leadership team, has performance management goals tied to the execution of our overall sustainability program, which includes 2030 sustainability goals tied to environmental, social, and governance topics.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Modine does not currently plan to establish monetary incentives for our executive leadership team and board linked to management of environmental issues. Our General Counsel/Chief Compliance Officer, who is a member of our executive leadership team, has performance management goals tied to the execution of our overall sustainability program, which includes 2030 sustainability goals tied to environmental, social, and governance topics.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Salary increase

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

Policies and commitments

- New or tighter environmental requirements applied to purchasing practices
- Adopting UN International Labour Organization principles

Engagement

- Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
- Increased engagement with customers on environmental issues
- Increased value chain visibility (traceability, mapping)
- Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- The incentives are not linked to an incentive plan, or equivalent (e.g. discretionary bonus in the reporting year)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Modine's Sustainability Program Manager is responsible for delivering on sustainability initiatives, goals and commitments in partnership with cross-functional teams, and has individual performance management goals tied to these areas. In addition, Modine's Corporate Environmental Manager is responsible for delivering on environmental initiatives, goals and commitments in partnership with cross-functional teams, and has individual performance management goals tied to these areas. Facility Managers and Facility EHS Specialists also have performance management goals tied to environmental performance. These initiatives have been approved by the company's leadership team and board. Success in these areas can drive results of performance reviews and future monetary incentives.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Sustainability Program Manager is responsible for executing on day-to-day efforts and strategic initiatives related to our environmental commitments.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Salary increase

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

Policies and commitments

- New or tighter environmental requirements applied to purchasing practices
- Adopting UN International Labour Organization principles

Engagement

- Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
- Increased engagement with customers on environmental issues
- Increased value chain visibility (traceability, mapping)
- Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- The incentives are not linked to an incentive plan, or equivalent (e.g. discretionary bonus in the reporting year)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Modine's Sustainability Program Manager is responsible for delivering on sustainability initiatives, goals and commitments in partnership with cross-functional teams, and has individual performance management goals tied to these areas. In addition, Modine's Corporate Environmental Manager is responsible for delivering on environmental initiatives, goals and commitments in partnership with cross-functional teams, and has individual performance management goals tied to these areas. Facility Managers and Facility EHS Specialists also have performance management goals tied to environmental performance. These initiatives have been approved by the company's leadership team and board. Success in these areas can drive results of performance reviews and future monetary incentives.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The Sustainability Program Manager is responsible for executing on day-to-day efforts and strategic initiatives related to our environmental commitments.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Other senior-mid manager, please specify :Employees in relevant roles

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :MVP Award

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions
- Other emission reduction-related metrics, please specify

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption
- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations
- Improvements in water efficiency – direct operations
- Reduction in water consumption volumes – direct operations
- Improvements in emissions data, reporting, and third-party verification
- Improvements in water accounting, reporting, and third-party verification
- Improvements in water efficiency – upstream value chain (excluding direct operations)

- ☑ Improvements in water efficiency – downstream value chain (excluding direct operations)
- ☑ Reduction of water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes – upstream value chain (excluding direct operations)
- ☑ Reduction of water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes – downstream value chain (excluding direct operations)

Pollution

- ☑ Reduction of water pollution incidents
- ☑ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- ☑ Improvements in wastewater quality – direct operations
- ☑ Increase in substitution of listed environmental contaminants
- ☑ Improvements in wastewater quality – upstream value chain (excluding direct operations)
- ☑ Improvements in wastewater quality – downstream value chain (excluding direct operations)
- ☑ Increase in discharge treatment compliance and meeting regulatory requirements – direct operations
- ☑ Reduction/elimination of environmental incidents and/or environmental notices (notices of violation)
- ☑ Increase in discharge treatment compliance and meeting regulatory requirements – upstream value chain (excluding direct operations)
- ☑ Increase in discharge treatment compliance and meeting regulatory requirements – downstream value chain (excluding direct operations)

Policies and commitments

- ☑ Increased supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- ☑ New or tighter environmental requirements applied to purchasing practices
- ☑ Securing Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) of Indigenous peoples and local communities
- ☑ Adopting UN International Labour Organization principles
- ☑ Implementation of water-related community project

Engagement

- ☑ Increased value chain visibility (traceability, mapping) environmental issues
- ☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues
- ☑ Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
- ☑ Increased engagement with customers on environmental issues
- ☑ Increased engagement with smallholders on environmental issues
- ☑ Increased engagement in landscape (including river basin) and jurisdictional initiatives

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- The incentives are not linked to an incentive plan, or equivalent (e.g. discretionary bonus in the reporting year)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Modine Values People Award is a global employee recognition program designed to highlight the best real-life examples of Modine employees living by Modine Values. Winners of the Modine Values People Award (MVPA) will receive monetary recognition and will be featured on our intranet and social media. Employees are selected by their local site leader, or human resources business partner. Site leaders / human resources may select up to one award per location, per month. Employees with suggested nominations should share their award-winning examples of living by Modine values with their supervisors, site leaders, and/or human resources business partner.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

By fostering a culture of focus on environmental issues through our Modine Values People awards, we further embed sustainability into the day-to-day work of all employees.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our Global Environmental Policy affirms our commitment to conduct worldwide business operations in an environmentally responsible manner. This policy affirms our dedication to reducing the environmental impact of our manufacturing operations and upholding environmental protection in line with our business values. We commit to proactive environmental management by integrating sustainability into product development, promoting resource conservation, minimizing waste and emissions, and ensuring responsible water use. Modine also prioritizes pollution prevention, safe material use, and collaboration with customers to enhance recycling and end-of-life product considerations. Every employee plays a role in supporting these principles to drive continuous environmental improvement. Modine's Green Teams play a key role in putting our sustainability targets into action across global operations. Embedded within our facilities, these teams drive progress from the ground up by identifying practical opportunities, implementing site-specific initiatives, and tracking performance. Their work ensures that environmental goals are integrated into daily operations and set not just at the corporate level, but actively carried out by employees at every level.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to a circular economy strategy

- Commitment to avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce or phase out hazardous substances
- Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution
- Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes
- Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes
- Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

GP05_EN Environmental Policy.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Modine recently published a comprehensive Supplier Code of Conduct that sets clear expectations for ethical business practices, legal compliance, and respect for human rights. The Code requires adherence to international standards, including the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Key areas covered include anti corruption, data protection, fair labor practices, environmental responsibility, and supply chain transparency. Suppliers are also expected to abide by our Global Supplier Manual, detailing the commercial, quality system, environmental system, and logistics requirements our suppliers must meet in order for us to ensure both our needs and our customers' needs are being met successfully. Suppliers must ensure compliance with Modine's requirements within their operations and those of their subcontractors, with Modine reserving the right to discontinue relationships with non-compliant suppliers.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to a circular economy strategy
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Continuous improvement in all operations

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce or phase out hazardous substances
- Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution
- Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes

- Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

Social commitments

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles
- Commitment to promote gender equality and women's empowerment
- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Modine-Supplier-Code-of-Conduct_6-10-25-FINAL.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Community Member

- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Global Compact
- Other, please specify :iMasons Climate Accord, Department of Energy's Better Plants Program

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

GRI: We annually publish a sustainability report, where we report with reference to the GRI Standards. Our sustainability report is available at Modine.com. TCFD: We recently completed exercises related to the TCFD recommendations and will publish our first TCFD index later this year. UN Global Compact: In September 2024, we joined the UN Global Compact to show our commitment to responsible and sustainable business practices. This global initiative aligns our operations with ten universal principles focused on environmental stewardship, anti-corruption, and human rights. We identified seven key UN SDGs where we believe we can make the most meaningful impact. Our commitments are intended to promote good health and well-being, improve water and energy efficiency, reduce emissions, foster responsible consumption and production, and uphold strong ethical governance. In July 2025, we published our first 2030 sustainability goals. The centerpiece of Modine's 2030 targets – a 30% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions from operations based on a 2018 baseline – builds on long-standing 80/20 efficiency efforts, supports customers' decarbonization goals, and demonstrates commitment to sustainable value creation. Additional goals are focused on resource conservation, health and safety, sustainable supply chain management, and ethics and governance. The company will review progress on these targets and provide updates in future sustainability reports. The 2025 Sustainability Report includes enhanced metrics and KPIs, enabled by efforts to efficiently leverage global reporting tools and frameworks. In addition, the report highlights key achievements during the past fiscal year such as one of the best safety performance records in the company's history; reductions of facilities' emissions, energy intensity, waste, and water usage; and progress on building a sustainable supply chain strategy. The report also marks the first reporting of select categories of Scope 3 emissions. Modine is committed to furthering its climate and sustainability strategies in alignment with its customer goals and regulatory footprint. iMasons Climate Accord: Airedale by Modine is a member of the iMasons Climate Accord (iCA), a coalition dedicated to reducing the carbon footprint of digital infrastructure worldwide. The iCA brings together over 250 members, including startups, hyperscalers, colocation providers, service firms, consultants, AEC professionals, and trade associations, all committed to achieving global carbon reduction. Department of Energy's Better Plants Program: We are actively pursuing engagement in strategic partnerships to reduce our energy use. For example, in early fiscal 2026, we plan to partner with the U.S. DOE through the Better Plants initiative to enhance energy-efficiency tracking and optimization. This partnership aims to strengthen Modine's ability to track and optimize energy-efficiency improvements across our operations, giving us access to monitoring equipment, technical resources, and training that will continue to drive results.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

Not assessed

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

Unknown

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

The organization's external engagement activities are guided by our Code of Legal and Ethical Conduct, which applies to all employees.

[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

- GRI

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Value chain engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water pollution indicators |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Environmental policies: pp. 18, 19, 20 Governance: pp. 9-13 Dependencies & impacts: pp. 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 Risks & opportunities: pp. 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 Strategy: pp. 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 Value chain engagement: 9, 11, 12, 13, 14-18 Emissions figures: pp. 33, 34 Emission targets: pp. 6, 19, 20 Water accounting figures: p. 34 Water pollution indicators: p. 34

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

(4.12.1.8) Comment

This sustainability report serves as an informational resource for our stakeholders and all who may be interested in learning about Modine’s global approach to managing sustainability. This report includes quantitative and qualitative information for the 2025 fiscal year running from April 1, 2024 – March 31, 2025, in alignment with financial reporting, unless otherwise noted. In preparing this report, we leveraged the results of our 2021 topic prioritization assessment and globally recognized sustainability reporting standards by reporting with reference to the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). We also, for the first time, disclose metrics from the disclosure standards issued by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). For the purposes of this report, references to “us,” “our,” “the company,” or “Modine” refer to the entity Modine Manufacturing Company and its 100%-owned subsidiaries.

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

First time carrying out analysis

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

First time carrying out analysis

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

- Customized publicly available climate physical scenario, please specify :Less than 2 degree C GMT rise, SSP2-4.5, SSP5-8.5, Stochastic View

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040
- 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- Cost of capital
- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Consumer sentiment
- Consumer attention to impact
- Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- Level of action (from local to global)

- ☑ Global targets
- ☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

- ☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
- ☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

Direct interaction with climate

- ☑ On asset values, on the corporate
- ☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

- ☑ Domestic growth
- ☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Transition risks were identified and assessed across critical factors, including time horizon, likelihood, magnitude of impact, and financial impact. By looking at critical transition risks over multiple time horizons, Modine was able to prioritize the risks with the greatest likelihood and impact. Modine's physical risk analysis assessed the impacts of 10 climate risk factors to Modine's most critical assets under four warming scenarios (low-, medium, and high-emissions scenarios and Stochastic View) in the short, medium, and long term. Through this analysis, Modine has identified the level of impact of any one risk to any one asset under different warming scenarios and different time horizons.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Facility

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Acute physical

Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2025

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Direct interaction with climate

On asset values, on the corporate

Macro and microeconomy

- Domestic growth
- Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The Aquaduct tool is fairly simple, well-known and data driven so there are limited uncertainties in relying on this as a baseline to flag our highest risk. We have not used it to predict future scenarios, but will consider that in the future.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Our primary focus in FY25 was climate change modelling. For water-related risks, we used WRI Aquaduct to evaluate where our operations overlap with water-stressed areas. Our primary risk is business limitations due to lack of water resources, producing products that minimize water use, and analyzing our reliance on upstream goods that have heavy dependence or co-location with water stressed areas.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Resilience of business model and strategy
- Capacity building
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Modine has evaluated the resilience of its strategy through physical risk scenario analysis, which assessed direct impacts to the company's most critical assets over four warming scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario. As exposure to extreme weather events is a critical physical climate risk factor, site by site trajectories were taken into consideration. Insights from these scenarios have informed strategic considerations, such as diversifying product offerings toward low-carbon solutions, investing in energy efficient technologies, and strengthening supply chain resilience.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Strategy and financial planning
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Facility

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

We have used our analysis to set water reduction goals, with the most aggressive targets aligning to these locations. In addition, since business decisions are made at local levels, it is not hard to allocate resources to water conservation in water stressed areas because the local awareness and business practices support these investments. Our MTSI and Ramos sites are good examples.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

- No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Insufficient data

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

More on TCFD. We recently launched new technology platforms to better analyze our environmental and social data. We will continue to optimize these systems and advance our programs accordingly. Specifically, we are leveraging our new environmental data platform to set new, forward-looking emission reductions targets and collect additional data points and KPIs.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes

across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase

compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

Revenues

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Row 2

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

Liabilities

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Row 3

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

Row 4

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Modine's business and strategy are directly influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities as the company seeks to align with a low-carbon economy and meet evolving customer expectations. Climate-related risks and opportunities are considered in the organization's business strategy and risk management processes across multiple areas. From focusing on the development of innovative, energy-efficient products and sustainable operations to regulatory pressures to increase compliance across the supply chain, Modine mitigates climate-related risks and takes advantage of climate-related opportunities across company operations. Critical climate risks and opportunities guide R&D priorities, operational risk management, acquisitions, and access to capital. Modine is in the process of evaluating the financial impacts of the company's most critical risks and opportunities and plans to integrate the findings of that analysis into strategic planning.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:

Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

We are committed to building better products that will, in turn, help create a better world. We are investing in products and technology to meet the challenging thermal management and air quality needs of our customers within the markets we serve. Our products are often aimed at solving difficult and complex heat transfer challenges requiring advanced thermal management solutions, while meeting the demand for increased energy efficiency and compliance with increasingly stringent regulations. We strive to be at the forefront of technological advances through both strategic inorganic investments in new technologies and meaningful internal R&D projects. R&D expenditures, including certain application engineering costs for specific customer solutions, totaled \$35 million, \$42 million, and \$44 million in fiscal 2025, 2024, and 2023. Our R&D teams work closely with our customers on projects and system designs to ensure efficient and cost-effective development of technologies. We are strategically expanding our technology and product portfolio in the Data Center Cooling business within our Climate Solutions segment. We recognize the need for investment in this area as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and other trends are driving increased high-performance computing, which

increases heat loads and is driving the need for high-density cooling solutions. As a result of our data center cooling technology investments, we offer our customers multiple efficient, customizable products and solutions to elevate their performance while meeting their sustainability targets around power and water usage. Regarding HVAC&R markets, we are focused on developing sustainable solutions that optimize thermal efficiency and manufacturing to support decarbonization efforts and the use of next generation refrigerants, which help minimize climate change potential. We are developing solutions, including precision battery cooling, to optimize the performance of zero emission and hybrid vehicles. We also collaborate with industry, university, and government-sponsored research organizations that conduct research and provide data on practical applications in the markets we serve. In addition, we engage in external research projects that complement our strategic internal research initiatives to further leverage our significant thermal technology expertise and capabilities.

[Fixed row]

(5.5.2) Provide details of your organization’s investments in low-carbon R&D for capital goods products and services over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate by technology area

(5.5.2.4) R&D investment figure in the reporting year (unit currency as selected in 1.2) (optional)

35000000

(5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

R&D expenditures, including certain application engineering costs for specific customer solutions, totaled \$35 million, \$42 million, and \$44 million in fiscal 2025, 2024, and 2023.

[Add row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

*Significant reductions in water intensity were realized in this reporting year due to initiatives in our MTSI and Ramos plants. Maintain goal.
[Fixed row]*

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure	<i>We plan to further our efforts in this area as move forward on our efforts to align our reporting with TCFD recommendations and CSRD requirements.</i>

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastics
Customers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastics
Investors and shareholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Other value chain stakeholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions
- Dependence on water
- Impact on plastic waste and pollution
- Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Modine began surveying suppliers this year on their environmental impacts, energy, water, waste and emissions. We will continue to expand our surveying scope to additional suppliers this year. The threshold for classifying suppliers having substantive dependencies on the environment is an EcoVadis score over 50 and an environmental policy. Suppliers should perform reassessments annually to display sustainability progress.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

150

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Basin/landscape condition
- Dependence on water
- Impact on water availability
- Impact on plastic waste and pollution
- Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Modine began surveying suppliers this year on their environmental impacts, energy, water, waste and emissions. We will continue to expand our surveying scope to additional suppliers this year. The threshold for classifying suppliers having substantive dependencies on the environment is an EcoVadis score over 50 and an environmental policy. Suppliers should perform reassessments annually to display sustainability progress.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Plastics

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Material sourcing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business risk mitigation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Procurement spend | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Leverage over suppliers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Product lifecycle | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vulnerability of suppliers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulatory compliance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategic status of suppliers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reputation management | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Product safety and compliance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supplier performance improvement | |

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Modine surveys suppliers annually on their environmental impacts, focusing on energy, water, waste and emissions. The threshold for classifying suppliers having substantive dependencies on the environment is an EcoVadis score under 50 and an environmental policy. In addition to overall corporate guidance, our procurement

teams, who sit within our verticals, prioritize supplier engagement based spend and input from their customers in alignment with their environmental goals and priorities.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Material sourcing
- Procurement spend
- Product lifecycle
- Regulatory compliance
- Reputation management
- Supplier performance improvement
- Business risk mitigation
- Leverage over suppliers
- Vulnerability of suppliers
- Strategic status of suppliers
- Product safety and compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Modine surveys suppliers annually on their environmental impacts, focusing on energy, water, waste and emissions. The threshold for classifying suppliers having substantive dependencies on the environment is an EcoVadis score under 50 and an environmental policy. In addition to overall corporate guidance, our procurement teams, who sit within our verticals, prioritize supplier engagement based spend and input from their customers in alignment with their environmental goals and priorities.

Plastics

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Material sourcing
- Product lifecycle
- Product safety and compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Modine surveys suppliers annually on their environmental impacts, focusing on energy, water, waste and emissions. The threshold for classifying suppliers having substantive dependencies on the environment is an EcoVadis score under 50 and an environmental policy. In addition to overall corporate guidance, our procurement teams, who sit within our verticals, prioritize supplier engagement based spend and input from their customers in alignment with their environmental goals and priorities.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Purchasing documents contain contract terms for suppliers to adhere to our Modine Supplier Manual and Supplier Code of Conduct. Modine Procurement adheres to our Sustainable Procurement Policy which aims to integrate sustainability considerations into the procurement process.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Purchasing documents contain contract terms for suppliers to adhere to our Modine Supplier Manual and Supplier Code of Conduct. Modine Procurement adheres to our Sustainable Procurement Policy which aims to integrate sustainability considerations into the procurement process.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Environmental disclosure through a non-public platform

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

1-25%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Our Supplier Code of Conduct sets expectations for suppliers to operate ethically and compliance with our standards. We manage supplier sustainability through a third-party benchmarking system. The survey asks questions to understand sustainability initiatives and progress. The survey allows us to understand how they manage energy, emissions, waste and water usage. We have the opportunity to implement actions to improve their performance.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Collect climate transition plan information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
- Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Engagement is done by annual Sustainability surveys on environmental, social, and governance topics along with yearly acknowledgement of our supplier code of conduct. We are also developing an auditing and score card process with our supply base that allows us to capture and monitor specific topics. Minimum policy expectations are set with suppliers, and corrective actions are issued to suppliers not meeting targets. We are developing vertical-specific approaches that align with our companywide strategy. A Sustainable Procurement Policy was developed to align our purchasing decisions with our broader environmental, social and economic goals. Through this we are creating a better awareness through the supply base and beginning to develop more targeted activities.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Compliance with regulatory requirements.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect WASH information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect water quality information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., discharge quality, pollution incidents, hazardous substances)

- Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Engagement is done by annual Sustainability surveys on environmental, social, and governance topics along with yearly acknowledgement of our supplier code of conduct. We are also developing an auditing and score card process with our supply base that allows us to capture and monitor specific topics. Minimum policy expectations are set with suppliers, and corrective actions are issued to suppliers not meeting targets. We are developing vertical-specific approaches that align with our companywide strategy. A Sustainable Procurement Policy was developed to align our purchasing decisions with our broader environmental, social and economic goals. Through this we are creating a better awareness through the supply base and beginning to develop more targeted activities.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Compliance with regulatory requirements.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Yes

Plastics

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- No other supplier engagement

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Collect climate transition plan information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers
- Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Engagement is done by annual Sustainability surveys on environmental, social, and governance topics along with yearly acknowledgement of our supplier code of conduct. We are also developing an auditing and score card process with our supply base that allows us to capture and monitor specific topics. Minimum policy expectations are set with suppliers, and corrective actions are issued to suppliers not meeting targets. We are developing vertical-specific approaches that align with our companywide strategy. A Sustainable Procurement Policy was developed to align our purchasing decisions with our broader environmental, social and economic goals. Through this we are creating a better awareness through the supply base and beginning to develop more targeted activities.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Compliance with regulatory requirements.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Yes

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our sustainability strategy is built on creating measurable evidence that we are living our purpose, mission, and values through sustainability initiatives that are relevant to our customers, suppliers, and employees. We seek to become the supplier of choice for customers seeking to align with partners who have business purposes similar to ours. Our mission - helping customers improve indoor air quality, reduce energy and water consumption, lower harmful emissions, enable cleaner running vehicles, and use more environmentally friendly refrigerants - is directly focused on addressing our customers' sustainability goals. In addition, through our participation in the UN Global Compact, we have identified SDG 13, Climate Action, as one of our key areas of focus going forward. We engage with our customers on climate action in every aspect of our relationship, from pre-qualification through product use and end-of-life. We partner with our customers on new products and innovations, and share data through the completion of sustainability surveys and ongoing relationship management.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We gauge the effectiveness of our engagement with customers by multiple factors, including alignment with their sustainability goals and requirements, continued partnership, increased sales, and direct feedback. We continue to see strong customer demand for our products and services. Our Sustainability Report shared our emissions 2030 goals, disclosed our selected Scope 3 categories and our companywide sustainable supply chain strategy. Joining the UN Global Impact and developing Green Teams at all our facilities demonstrates our commitment to stakeholders.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our sustainability strategy is built on creating measurable evidence that we are living our purpose, mission, and values through sustainability initiatives that are relevant to our customers, suppliers, and employees. We seek to become the supplier of choice for customers seeking to align with partners who have business purposes similar to ours. Our mission - helping customers improve indoor air quality, reduce energy and water consumption, lower harmful emissions, enable cleaner running vehicles, and use more environmentally friendly refrigerants - is directly focused on addressing our customers' sustainability goals. In addition, through our participation in the UN Global Compact, we have identified SDG 6, Clean Water and Sanitation, as one of our key areas of focus going forward. We engage with our customers on climate action in every aspect of our relationship, from pre-qualification through product use and end-of-life. We partner with our customers on new products and innovations, and share data through the completion of sustainability surveys and ongoing relationship management.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We gauge the effectiveness of our engagement with customers by multiple factors, including alignment with their sustainability goals and requirements, continued partnership, increased sales, and direct feedback. We continue to see strong customer demand for our products and services. Our Sustainability Report shared our

emissions 2030 goals, disclosed our selected Scope 3 categories and our companywide sustainable supply chain strategy. Joining the UN Global Impact and developing Green Teams at all our facilities demonstrates our commitment to stakeholders..

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with our investors, and potential investors, primarily through SEC filings and other public disclosures. We also participate in one-on-one and group meetings, investor conferences and other outreach, as needed, to clarify our strategies, financial results and expectations and understand primary areas of investor interest. Modine recently hosted an Investor Day at its global headquarters in Racine, Wisconsin. Members of the executive management team provided an update to

the company's strategy to transform the company and drive sustainable shareholder value. Guided by the company's ongoing commitment to 80/20, management outlined its strategy to evolve its portfolio to compound shareholder value by focusing on high-growth, high-margin businesses for sustainable growth and returns. As part of Investor Day, we highlighted our sustainability efforts, including our commitment to the UN Global Compact. We also shared that we are focused on detailing our commitments and actions in relation to UN SDG 13, Climate Action.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We gauge the impact of our engagement with investors based on our stock price and the comments and questions we receive. Based on these measures, we believe our strategy and efforts on climate change are resonating positively with our investors and shareholders.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with our investors, and potential investors, primarily through SEC filings and other public disclosures. We also participate in one-on-one and group meetings, investor conferences and other outreach, as needed, to clarify our strategies, financial results and expectations and understand primary areas of investor

interest. Modine recently hosted an Investor Day at its global headquarters in Racine, Wisconsin. Members of the executive management team provided an update to the company's strategy to transform the company and drive sustainable shareholder value. Guided by the company's ongoing commitment to 80/20, management outlined its strategy to evolve its portfolio to compound shareholder value by focusing on high-growth, high-margin businesses for sustainable growth and returns. As part of Investor Day, we highlighted our sustainability efforts, including our commitment to the UN Global Compact. We also shared that we are focused on detailing our commitments and actions in relation to UN SDG 6, Clean Water and Sanitation.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We gauge the impact of our engagement with investors based on our stock price and the comments and questions we receive. Based on these measures, we believe our strategy and efforts on climate change are resonating positively with our investors and shareholders.

[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Alphabet, Inc.

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

Implement energy reduction projects

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Climate action is core to our purpose and mission. To drive progress, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

- 3-5 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

- No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Within this broader strategy, our facilities are implementing site-specific initiatives that enhance operational efficiency and reduce emissions. These targeted efforts, aligned with our larger decarbonization goals, demonstrate how our sustainability strategy is put into practice at the facility level — translating corporate targets into measurable local action. Our hierarchy prioritizes energy reductions, efficiency and optimization while allowing for purchasing carbon-free energy or credits to close the remaining gaps. These efforts have contributed to a 23% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2025, even as our business has grown. Over the same period, our emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2 CO2e/\$ million sales) dropped by 46%, underscoring our ability to decouple growth from emissions.

Row 2

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Robert Bosch GmbH

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

Implement energy reduction projects

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Climate action is core to our purpose and mission. To drive progress, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

3-5 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Within this broader strategy, our facilities are implementing site-specific initiatives that enhance operational efficiency and reduce emissions. These targeted efforts, aligned with our larger decarbonization goals, demonstrate how our sustainability strategy is put into practice at the facility level — translating corporate targets into measurable local action. Our hierarchy prioritizes energy reductions, efficiency and optimization while allowing for purchasing carbon-free energy or credits to close the remaining gaps. These efforts have contributed to a 23% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2025, even as our business has grown. Over the same period, our emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2 CO2e/\$ million sales) dropped by 46%, underscoring our ability to decouple growth from emissions.

Row 3

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Daimler Truck Holding AG

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

Implement energy reduction projects

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Climate action is core to our purpose and mission. To drive progress, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce

energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

- 3-5 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

- No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Within this broader strategy, our facilities are implementing site-specific initiatives that enhance operational efficiency and reduce emissions. These targeted efforts, aligned with our larger decarbonization goals, demonstrate how our sustainability strategy is put into practice at the facility level — translating corporate targets into measurable local action. Our hierarchy prioritizes energy reductions, efficiency and optimization while allowing for purchasing carbon-free energy or credits to close the remaining gaps. These efforts have contributed to a 23% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2025, even as our business has grown. Over the same period, our emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2 CO2e/\$ million sales) dropped by 46%, underscoring our ability to decouple growth from emissions.

Row 4

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

- Stellantis N.V.

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

- Implement energy reduction projects

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Climate action is core to our purpose and mission. To drive progress, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

- 3-5 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

- No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Within this broader strategy, our facilities are implementing site-specific initiatives that enhance operational efficiency and reduce emissions. These targeted efforts, aligned with our larger decarbonization goals, demonstrate how our sustainability strategy is put into practice at the facility level — translating corporate targets into measurable local action. Our hierarchy prioritizes energy reductions, efficiency and optimization while allowing for purchasing carbon-free energy or credits to close the remaining gaps. These efforts have contributed to a 23% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2025, even as our business has grown. Over the same period, our emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2 CO2e/\$ million sales) dropped by 46%, underscoring our ability to decouple growth from emissions.

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	<p>Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement</p>
	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

[Fixed row]

(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.

Row 1

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Robert Bosch GmbH

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

- Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Relationship sustainability assessment

- Align goals to feed into customers targets and ambitions

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

We partner with our customers to provide solutions including systems, services, and components to solve complex heat transfer challenges and are committed to helping them reach their sustainability goals. We focus on product design, from raw materials to end-of-life recyclability, to optimize the total cost of ownership and reduce negative environmental impacts across the product life cycle. We anticipate and prepare for change in order to be at the forefront of technological advances and to provide innovative solutions to help our customers meet their sustainability targets and comply with an increasingly stringent regulatory environment. We proactively share our sustainability progress and gather feedback from our stakeholders to shape our sustainability efforts. To drive progress for our customers, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal. In addition, customers are increasingly asking for detailed product carbon footprint information based on recognized standards, including TM65, environmental product declarations (EPDs) and life-cycle analyses (LCAs). We are working to address immediate customer needs for calculations, as well as identifying systems and resources to drive additional activities in these areas in the months and years to come.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

We measure success for this initiative through internal management and sustainability-focused conversations with our customers.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

No

Row 2

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Daimler Truck Holding AG

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Relationship sustainability assessment

Align goals to feed into customers targets and ambitions

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

We partner with our customers to provide solutions including systems, services, and components to solve complex heat transfer challenges and are committed to helping them reach their sustainability goals. We focus on product design, from raw materials to end-of-life recyclability, to optimize the total cost of ownership and reduce negative environmental impacts across the product life cycle. We anticipate and prepare for change in order to be at the forefront of technological advances and to provide innovative solutions to help our customers meet their sustainability targets and comply with an increasingly stringent regulatory environment. We proactively share our sustainability progress and gather feedback from our stakeholders to shape our sustainability efforts. To drive progress for our customers, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal. In addition, customers are increasingly asking for detailed product carbon footprint information based on recognized standards, including TM65, environmental product declarations (EPDs) and life-cycle analyses (LCAs). We are working to address immediate customer needs for calculations, as well as identifying systems and resources to drive additional activities in these areas in the months and years to come.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

We measure success for this initiative through internal management and sustainability-focused conversations with our customers.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

No

Row 3

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

Alphabet, Inc.

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Relationship sustainability assessment

Align goals to feed into customers targets and ambitions

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

We partner with our customers to provide solutions including systems, services, and components to solve complex heat transfer challenges and are committed to helping them reach their sustainability goals. We focus on product design, from raw materials to end-of-life recyclability, to optimize the total cost of ownership and reduce negative environmental impacts across the product life cycle. We anticipate and prepare for change in order to be at the forefront of technological advances and to provide innovative solutions to help our customers meet their sustainability targets and comply with an increasingly stringent regulatory environment. We proactively share our sustainability progress and gather feedback from our stakeholders to shape our sustainability efforts. To drive progress for our customers, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and

lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal. In addition, customers are increasingly asking for detailed product carbon footprint information based on recognized standards, including TM65, environmental product declarations (EPDs) and life-cycle analyses (LCAs). We are working to address immediate customer needs for calculations, as well as identifying systems and resources to drive additional activities in these areas in the months and years to come.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

We measure success for this initiative through internal management and sustainability-focused conversations with our customers.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

- No

Row 4

(5.13.1.1) Requesting member

Select from:

- Stellantis N.V.

(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID

Select from:

- Ini1

(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type

Relationship sustainability assessment

- Align goals to feed into customers targets and ambitions

(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative

We partner with our customers to provide solutions including systems, services, and components to solve complex heat transfer challenges and are committed to helping them reach their sustainability goals. We focus on product design, from raw materials to end-of-life recyclability, to optimize the total cost of ownership and reduce negative environmental impacts across the product life cycle. We anticipate and prepare for change in order to be at the forefront of technological advances and to provide innovative solutions to help our customers meet their sustainability targets and comply with an increasingly stringent regulatory environment. We proactively share our sustainability progress and gather feedback from our stakeholders to shape our sustainability efforts. To drive progress for our customers, we've set new companywide targets: a 30% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 carbon absolute emissions and a 40% decrease in energy intensity (kWh/\$ million sales) by 2030, both relative to our fiscal 2018 baseline. These goals reflect our commitment to innovation, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. To achieve our goal of reducing energy intensity by 40% by 2030, we're aligning efforts across the company, equipping facility teams with the tools and resources they need, and actively sharing best practices organization-wide. We continuously explore ways to reduce energy use and are investing in advanced building controls, process controls, and lighting upgrades to do so. For example, as of fiscal 2025, more than 88% of our sites have engaged or completed progress on their transition to high efficiency LED lighting. Through our efforts, we have reduced our energy intensity by 30% since 2018. This progress positions us well to meet our 2030 goal. In addition, customers are increasingly asking for detailed product carbon footprint information based on recognized standards, including TM65, environmental product declarations (EPDs) and life-cycle analyses (LCAs). We are working to address immediate customer needs for calculations, as well as identifying systems and resources to drive additional activities in these areas in the months and years to come.

(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?

Select from:

No

(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured

We measure success for this initiative through internal management and sustainability-focused conversations with our customers.

(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Modine has operational control over all operations and subsidiaries and has the full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at the operations.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Modine has operational control over all operations and subsidiaries and has the full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at the operations.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Modine has operational control over all operations and subsidiaries and has the full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at the operations.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Modine has operational control over all operations and subsidiaries and has the full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at the operations.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

Yes, other structural change, please specify :Expansion

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

1. Calgary DC2 Facility 2. Bradford Facility, UK

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

1, Modine Opens New Facility in Calgary to Support Growing Data Center Demand. RACINE, Wis., Oct. 28, 2024 /PRNewswire/ -- Modine (NYSE: MOD), a diversified global leader in thermal management technology and solutions, today announced the opening of a new 23,200m² (~250,000ft²) facility in Calgary, Canada. The facility will manufacture Airedale by Modine™ precision cooling equipment to meet the increased demand from data center customers, particularly in the hyperscale market. 2. Production of Airedale by Modine™ Data Center Cooling Solutions Begins at Bradford, UK, Site. RACINE, Wis., Oct. 24, 2024 /PRNewswire/ -- Modine (NYSE: MOD), a diversified global leader in thermal management technology and solutions, today announced the official opening of its 14.6 acre Bradford, UK, site acquired earlier this year. This site will be dedicated to meeting demand for Airedale by Modine™ precision cooling products for data centers, with production launching two months ahead of schedule.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

- Yes, a change in methodology

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*Cority Software is now used to track and report metrics. 2. Boundary expanded to include fleet vehicle emissions, municipal supplied steam, and scrap metals recycling, in addition to our new Bradford (FY25) and Calgary 2 (FY25) facilities. These were not added into our baseline (FY18) as they were expansions and not operating during that period. The Calgary IAQ (FY18-25) and DC1 (FY25) facilities were also added into our metrics.
[Fixed row]*

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

- Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
 Scope 2, location-based

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Base Year: 2018 Significance Threshold: 5% of base year emissions Triggers for Recalculation: Structural changes (e.g., acquisitions, divestments) Changes in calculation methodologies (e.g., emission factors, monitoring methods) Discovery of significant errors Changes in operational boundaries Methodology Highlights: Acquired Facilities: Emissions are added to the base year only after one full calendar year of data is available. Baseline and historic emissions will be added back to our baseline year, or at their first year of operation. Divested Facilities: Emissions are removed from the base year starting the first full calendar year after divestment. Expansions, New Builds & Permanent Closures: No recalculation; considered organic growth/decline. New locations will be added into our inventory. Grid Electricity Factors: Historic years may be updated if emission factor changes exceed the significance threshold.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- IEA CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
- 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	<i>Regional factors from Ecoinvent v3.11 IPCC 2021</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Emissions from new acquisitions that have not had a complete year of emissions accounting are not included. In addition, a handful of sales office locations, work from home locations, and warehouse locations are not included.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

5

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Our emissions accounting boundaries includes manufacturing sites and primary headquarters locations.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

A ratio of the number of offices vs manufacturing facilities.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Scope 3 categories not deemed relevant during our materiality assessment.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- Scope 3: Franchises
- Scope 3: Investments
- Scope 3: Capital goods
- Scope 3: Business travel
- Scope 3: Other (upstream)
- Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- Scope 3: Other (downstream)
- Scope 3: Employee commuting
- Scope 3: Upstream leased assets
- Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
- Scope 3: Processing of sold products

- Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

10

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Deemed Insignificant based on our Materiality Assessment

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Estimated by comparison to similar reporting industries.

Row 3

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Scope 3- Use of Sold Products

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are relevant but not yet calculated

(7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

100

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Complicated GDP and LCA software needed to complete on a product-level basis.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Expected to be a significant and main contributor to Scope 3 emissions.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2018

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

38858

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2018

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

168971

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on EcoInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2025

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

We started tracking Renewable Energy Certificates from our sites in FY2024. The emissions associated with these is "zero" since they allow us to purchase from carbon-free sources.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2025

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1633539

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2025

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35359

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Transportation based on experienced percentage of capital spend and spend-based emission factors (SupplyChainGHGEmissionFactors_v1.3.0_NAICS_CO2e_USD2022).

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2025

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

70851

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Transportation based on experienced percentage of capital spend and spend-based emission factors (SupplyChainGHGEmissionFactors_v1.3.0_NAICS_CO2e_USD2022).

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41518

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on EcoInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

44340

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2024

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on EcoInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42860

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on Ecolnvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

46021

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on Ecolnvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 4

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42179

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on Ecolnvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 5

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42686

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2020

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

119359

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data. Renewable Energy Certificates with zero carbon emissions include 27,806 MWh of carbon-free electricity.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

131911

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2024

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data. Renewable Energy Certificates with zero carbon emissions include 9565 MWh of carbon-free electricity.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

137964

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

143467

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 4

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

138258

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcolInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

Past year 5

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

121283

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2020

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Based on EcoInvent v3.11 IPCC 2021 and EPA Emission factors for Medium Electricity Mix in the vicinity of Modine facilities, and natural gas, propane, diesel, and light fuel oil for Scope 1. Cority software used to collect and aggregate data.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1633540

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Purchased Goods based on top 80% capital spend categories and spend based factors (SupplyChainGHGEmissionFactors_v1.3.0_NAICS_CO2e_USD2022).

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not calculated but expected to be small in comparison to Use of Sold Products.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not calculated but expected to be small in comparison to Use of Sold Products.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

35359

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

*Transportation based on experienced percentage of capital spend and spend-based emission factors
(SupplyChainGHGEmissionFactors_v1.3.0_NAICS_CO2e_USD2022)*

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Over 90% of most of our products are recyclable metals so this was not deemed relevant.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not calculated but expected to be small in comparison to Use of Sold Products. This will be calculated for employee awareness and engagement next year.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not calculated but expected to be small in comparison to Use of Sold Products. This will be calculated for employee awareness and engagement next year.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

None

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

70851

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

*Transportation based on experienced percentage of capital spend and spend-based emission factors
(SupplyChainGHGEmissionFactors_v1.3.0_NAICS_CO2e_USD2022)*

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

None required.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We are working towards product carbon footprints. We expect to have some calculated for our next reporting cycle.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Over 90% of most of our products are recyclable metals so this was not deemed relevant.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Minimal downstream leases assets. A handful of warehouses for raw material or product storage.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

None

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

None

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

SCOPE 2- 20,844,607 kw-hr reduction primarily due to purchased green energy and self-generated green energy at all locations.

Other emissions reduction activities**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

5816

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

SCOPE 1- Consolidation of processes, reduction of curing and drying cycles and equipment.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

None

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2035

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

3

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Acquired 3 Calgary Sites- IAQ, DC1 and DC2. DC2 did not have a full year of reporting. Calgary added Scope 1- 1446 mtons and Scope 2 589 mtons to FY25. (2035 combined).

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Merged MTSC Auto into MTSC.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not a significant factor in energy usage.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

None

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

None

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

858

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Bonlanden eliminated production and is now only a regional office. SCOPE 1

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Location-based

(7.11) How do your total Scope 3 emissions for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

This is our first year of reporting

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	46	Biogenic factors from Ecoinvent v3.10 IPCC 2021.

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

- IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

- CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

2

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

- IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

- N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

24

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

897.404

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

666.152

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1446

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

589

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1584.295

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30332.581

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2494.512

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2494.64

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7555.741

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1524.201

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1741.741

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2696.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7620.822

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4153.524

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22033.476

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30.083

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.75

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1344.123

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

369.046

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2599.707

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1662.227

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

328.976

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1033.055

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1414.605

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.879

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20180.034

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42764.606

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By facility

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Racine

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3610.35

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.710443

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-87.797049

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg 1

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

153.733

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.266791

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-87.329944

Row 3

(7.17.2.1) Facility

MOC

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

127.1

Row 4

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Joplin

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

271.812

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.082876

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-94.556328

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Nuevo Laredo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3149.485

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

27.465195

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-99.533235

Row 6

(7.17.2.1) Facility

MTSS

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

181.491

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.02

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

121.2

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

MTSI

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1524.201

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

12.916949

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

79.903665

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Buena Vista

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1111.745

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.725918

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-79.361047

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Wuxi

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.5

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.4

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Grenada - CCP

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1158.506

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.825347

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-89.797819

Row 11

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg 2- ACA

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3761.814

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.264529

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-87.327031

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Torreglia

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

53.505

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.333758

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

11.750002

Row 13

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Gyongyos

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

965.298

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.754539

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

19.950764

Row 14

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Leeds

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1288.607

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.844229

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-1.668217

Row 15

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Brazil

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

897.404

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-23.422254

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-46.382646

Row 16

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Soderkoping

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

58.477991

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

16.343485

Row 17

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Jefferson City

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2100.558

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.564648

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-92.28296

Row 18

(7.17.2.1) Facility

West Kingston

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

965.663

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

41.47999

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-71.572555

Row 19

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Guadalajara

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1662.227

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.658645

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-3.177772

Row 20

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Ramos

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

225.153

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

25.540925

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-100.920035

Row 21

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Mezokovesd 1

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

808.066

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.792048

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

20.575382

Row 22

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Uden

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30.083

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.658238

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.647793

Row 23

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Amaro

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

502.073

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

46.371395

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

13.079704

Row 24

(7.17.2.1) Facility

San Vito

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

684.041

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.93685

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

12.883123

Row 25

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Consett

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

125.998

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

54.863192

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-1.823034

Row 26

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Trenton

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4574.63

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.09322

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-93.611454

Row 27

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sremska

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

369.046

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

44.976557

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

19.6409

Row 28

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Jacksonville

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

744.401

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.941667

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-95.26332

Row 29

(7.17.2.1) Facility

MTSC

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1378.414

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.8

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

119.8

Row 30

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Mezokovesd 2 Auto

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

721.274

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.0

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

20.0

Row 31

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Grenada - OEM HTP

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

271.703

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.725693

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-89.783722

Row 32

(7.17.2.1) Facility

MPC

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24.39

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.6

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

119.2

Row 33

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Pocenia C&PP

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

684.143

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.831909

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

13.107935

Row 34

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Bonlanden

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2494.512

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.644764

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

9.23076

Row 35

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Louisville

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

257.366

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.234764

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-85.77833

Row 36

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Pontevico- LCA

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

287.617

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.273036

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

10.123519

Row 37

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Juarez

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

778.886

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.624216

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-106.421999

Row 38

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Longview

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

209.771

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.497723

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-94.759933

Row 39

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Grenada OEM-DC

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

413.11

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.725694

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-89.783722

Row 40

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Grenada OEM C&PP

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

86.034

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.725694

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-89.783722

Row 41

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg 2- EV

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

270

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.264529

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-87.327031

Row 43

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Pocenia- HTP

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

485.036

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

45.831909

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

13.107935

Row 44

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rockbridge

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

218.838

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.75933

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-79.483492

Row 45

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Calgary IAQ

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

654.549

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.034149

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-114.036084

Row 46

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Calgary DC1

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

791.398

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.990946

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-113.912352

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By facility

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bonlanden

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Racine

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6227.182

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Buena Vista

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1818.661

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

West Kingston

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

287.76

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Longview

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

77.543

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Leeds

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.879

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Consett

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Grenada OEM- DC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2509.116

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Guadalajara

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

328.976

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 10

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rockbridge

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

902.537

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Grenada - CCP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1201.757

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Grenada - OEM HTP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1902.685

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Juarez

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6082.47

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Amaro

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

907.094

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pocenia HTP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

842.5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 16

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sremska

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2599.707

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 17

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Torreglia

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

161.442

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 18

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Grenada OEM C&PP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

522.548

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 19

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pocenia C&PP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

955.904

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 20

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Soderkoping

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1033.055

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 21

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Wuxi

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2045.077

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 22

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg II - EV

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

546.682

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 24

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Jacksonville

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1159.996

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 25

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Louisville

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

628.986

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 26

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Ramos Arizpe

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1811.539

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 27

(7.20.2.1) Facility

San Vito

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1188.449

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 28

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Brazil

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

666.152

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 29

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Gyongyos

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1127.739

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 30

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Jefferson City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2825.108

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 31

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg II - ACA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7908.394

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 32

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MOC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1344.123

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 33

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MTSC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10192.087

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 34

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MTSI

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1741.386

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 35

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Nuevo Laredo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14139.467

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 36

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Trenton

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3188.421

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 37

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Joplin

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4107.852

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 38

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lawrenceburg I

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6949.378

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 39

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mezokovesd

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5375.649

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 40

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mezokovesd-Auto

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1052.353

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 41

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MPC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7591.205

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 43

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MTSS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10504.212

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 44

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3565.433

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 45

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Uden

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.75

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 46

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Calgary IAQ

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.692

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 47

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Calgary DC1

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

533.25

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41518

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

119359

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All are included in our consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions fall into our consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

We do not yet have carbon-specific footprints or client-specific footprints.

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	We plan to develop product-specific carbon footprints over time and can estimate client footprints based on type of product, or % of spend.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

- More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

240061

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

240061.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

56007

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

180565

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

236572.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2092

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2092.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3517

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

3517.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

420626

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

482242.00

*[Fixed row]***(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

*[Fixed row]***(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

240657

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

120329

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

120328

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Estimated to be 50% use in boilers/process vs 50% facility heating.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

240657

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

120329

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

120328

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Estimated to be 50% use in boilers/process vs 50% facility heating.

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

3517

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

3517

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

3517

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

3517

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Copies of certificates maintained in Cority.

Row 2**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3762

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Engie

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Copies of certificates maintained in Cority.

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4057

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Indian REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Copies of certificates maintained in Cority.

Row 4

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

750

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Angebottsnummer

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Copies of certificates maintained in Cority.

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Sweden

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Nuclear

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10798

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :E.ON Energilösningar AB

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Sweden

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Copies of certificates maintained in Cority.

[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3084

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3084.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1091

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1091.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32701

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2694

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35395.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

685

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

685.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

20293

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

20293.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5575

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5575.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19555

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

780

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

20335.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35838

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35838.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10843

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10843.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2060

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2060.00

Serbia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2650

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2650.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1634

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1634.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1968

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

7533

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9501.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3805

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3848.00

United States of America**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

85702

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

85702.00

[Fixed row]

(7.34) Does your organization measure the efficiency of any of its products or services?

	Measurement of product/service efficiency	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to start doing so within the next two years	<i>Heating units, data center cooling units, and air conditioners have efficiency measures determined for them.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

58.8

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

160877

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

13

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Combination of decrease in carbon and increase in revenue.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.**Row 1****(7.52.1) Description**

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

175802

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

kWh

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

million \$ revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

8

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Combination of decrease in energy and increase in revenue.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

07/30/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Site/facility

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Location-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

03/31/2018

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

38858

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

168971

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

207829.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

03/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

145480.300

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

41518

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

119359

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

160877.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

75.31

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Covers all manufacturing sites.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

30% Reduction in Scope 1 and 2 Carbon

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Share in our Annual published Sustainability Report

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Other climate-related targets

No other climate-related targets

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

07/30/2025

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Site/facility

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Intensity

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy consumption or efficiency

kWh

(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

Other, please specify :million shipped \$ USD

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

03/31/2018

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

40

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

03/31/2030

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

249512

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

175806

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

70.4552013853

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes. This is our primary pathway to reduced carbon emissions by reducing energy consumption at the site level.

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Applies to all manufacturing sites.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

85% of waste recycled or reduced from our fiscal 2024 baseline.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Executing at Green Team plant level. Prioritize efficiency, minimization, and consolidation.

Row 3

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 2

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

07/30/2025

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Site/facility

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Waste management

Other waste management, please specify :% Waste Reduced or Recycled from 2024 Baseline

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

03/31/2024

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

85

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

03/31/2030

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

91271436

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

71514129

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

78.3532217026

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

No. Waste related.

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Applies to all manufacturing sites.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

40% cut in energy intensity (kWh per million dollars of sales) from our fiscal 2018 baseline.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Executing at Green Team plant level. Prioritize efficiency, minimization, and consolidation. Evaluate Green Energy or RECs for remaining gap.
 [Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	246	`Numeric input
To be implemented	32	2703
Implementation commenced	73	6167
Implemented	99	8364
Not to be implemented	42	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Mandatory

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

25000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

50000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Many of our facilities have been scheduling light replacement since 2018. 90% have ongoing projects or fully implements these upgrades. Some projects range over \$200,000 USD. This represents an average.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Mandatory

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

5000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

85% of our sites have started a recurring compressed air leak maintenance program to evaluate loss. These are being rolled into a recurring maintenance PM.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

- Machine/equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Varies by location. These projects generally are not launched because of their environmental benefits or payback, but modernization results in significant savings. Local utility and energy organizations often have rebates affiliated with the efficiency-related adders, such as modular controls, stand-by or idle modes, and start-up/shut down cycles.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Smart control system

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Varies by location. These projects generally are not launched because of their environmental benefits or payback, but modernization results in significant savings. Local utility and energy organizations often have rebates affiliated with the efficiency-related adders, such as modular controls, stand-by or idle modes, and start-up/shut down cycles.

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Varies by location. These projects generally are not launched because of their environmental benefits or payback, but modernization results in significant savings. Local utility and energy organizations often have rebates affiliated with the efficiency-related adders, such as modular controls, stand-by or idle modes, and start-up/shut down cycles.

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Other, please specify :Energy Hunt

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3464

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Varies by location.

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Employee awareness campaigns for carbon and Best Practices for energy savings.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Partnering with governments on technology development

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Engaging with DOE Better Plants initiatives to better understand how to measure energy reductions initiatives. To date, most of our initiatives have not measured the project specific energy savings.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Other :Launch of Green Teams

(7.55.3.2) Comment

*Launch of Green Teams at 45 manufacturing facilities to identify energy efficiency projects and share best practices.
[Add row]*

(7.71) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of any of its products or services?

	Assessment of life cycle emissions	Comment
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to start doing so within the next two years	<i>Will complete in the next two years for select products.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:

No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Heating and cooling

Advanced heat exchanger

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

All products fall under the category of advanced heat exchanger/low carbon products or enable our customers products to run cooler and more efficiently. This includes Air and Liquid Cooled radiators for on/off highway vehicles, Natural Gas and Propane Unit Heaters, Electric Unit Heaters, Steam/Hot Water Unit Heaters, Oil-Fired Unit Heaters, Infrared Heaters, Make-Up Air Systems, Gas-Fired Duct Furnaces, Horizontal Unit Ventilators, Ceiling Cassettes, Convectors & Controls, ECO™ Heat Transfer Coolers, Coiltech® Industrial Heat Transfer.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 2

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

Other, please specify :Ecodesign Directive.

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

Other, please specify :Precision Air Conditioning, Comfort Chillers, High-Temperature Process Chillers

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Modine's Airedale brand, based in Britain, is a world leader in the delivery of innovative thermal management solutions in mission critical environments like data centers, healthcare and telecoms. Airedale's product pedigree as manufacturers of air conditioning systems, including precision (PAC) units, chillers, condensers/dry coolers, IT cooling systems, and air handling units is backed up with significant software capabilities, with advanced building and energy management systems and HVAC controls developed by our Controls teams. Our design and integration of these systems, paired with a keen eye on operational energy efficiencies at product level, delivers some of the most sustainable and reliable precision cooling solutions to the most demanding applications on the planet. All Airedale solutions are backed by a full suite of support services, including commissioning, maintenance, refurbishment, spares and training, delivered by experts with many years' industry experience. A great many Airedale solutions are already Ecodesign compliant, and all Airedale ongoing products and systems will be designed to meet current and future Ecodesign and F-Gas regulations regarding energy efficiency and carbon emissions.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on site meters.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Utility bills and on-site meters.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on-site meters.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Utility bills and on-site meters.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Municipal water supply.

(9.2.4) Please explain

More than 75% of our facilities receive treated water from local municipalities.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Estimated based on process flow at 10% of our facilities.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Estimated based on process flow at 10% of our facilities.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on-site meters.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Utility bills and on-site meters.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not a strategic priority at this time.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

In accordance with our stormwater management and industrial wastewater discharge permits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In accordance with our stormwater management and industrial wastewater discharge permits.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

In accordance with our stormwater management and industrial wastewater discharge permits, most sites are not required to monitor these pollutants since there is no pollutant source on site.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

In accordance with our stormwater management and industrial wastewater discharge permits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In accordance with our stormwater management and industrial wastewater discharge permits.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Estimates using process flow diagrams from 10% of our facilities in addition to utility bills showing sewer discharge.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Estimates using process flow diagrams from 10% of our facilities in addition to utility bills showing sewer discharge.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Either we don't recycle, or we use our process flow diagrams to calculate water reuse totals.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Either we don't recycle, or we use our process flow diagrams to calculate water reuse totals.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not relevant to our industry.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

663

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our business is transitioning to manufacturing technologies that do not need as much water, and generally no longer require wastewater treatment. We are also moving away from single-pass through cooling water.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

597

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our business is transitioning to manufacturing technologies that do not need as much water, and generally no longer require wastewater treatment. We are also moving away from single-pass through cooling water.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

66

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our business is transitioning to manufacturing technologies that do not need as much water, and generally no longer require wastewater treatment. We are also moving away from single-pass through cooling water.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

123.74

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

18.66

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

- WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

*We track water withdrawals from all our manufacturing facilities. WRI Aqueduct Tool is used to identify Water Stressed areas. We stress and highlight water-reducing projects in these areas. We continue to expand and grow our business, but stive to minimize use and maximize our recycle rates across all our facilities.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

43.9

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In reality, it is about the same, but was previously tracked as groundwater withdrawal.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

181.9

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Divestment from water intensive technology/process

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Expansion of our Data Center cooling equipment manufacturing facilities has changed some of the equipment and processes and no longer require test baths, cooling water and water-intensive production.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

436.9

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Divestment from water intensive technology/process

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Expansion of our Data Center cooling equipment manufacturing facilities has changed some of the equipment and processes and no longer require test baths, cooling water and water-intensive production.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We have estimates, but do not yet track discharge volumes. We expect this to be a priority within the next 1-3 years. Most goes back to a municipal wastewater treatment plant in the vicinities of our facilities.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We do not discharge at this location.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We do not discharge at this location.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We have estimates, but do not yet track discharge volumes. We expect this to be a priority within the next 1-3 years. Most goes back to a municipal wastewater treatment plant in the vicinities of our facilities.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

5

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

The number of facilities in water-stressed areas is about 10% of our operations.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

*We are focused on Scope 3 carbon and have not yet assessed water use at our upstream facilities. This will be a strategic priority in the next 1-3 years.
[Fixed row]*

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

MTSI

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We do not track discharges, but estimate them to be about 90%

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

Other, please specify :Sunguvarchatiram SO

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.916949

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

79.903665

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

9.4

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.9

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Significant investments in water management

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Guadalajara

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We do not track discharges, but estimate them to be about 90%

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Spain

Other, please specify :Henares

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.658645

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-3.177772

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.9

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

7.9

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.8

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Conservation

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We do not track discharges, but estimate them to be about 90%

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Rio Grande

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.624216

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.421999

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

37.8

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

37.8

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

3.8

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Nuevo Laredo

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We do not track discharges, but estimate them to be about 90%

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Grande

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.465195

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.533235

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

44.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

44.7

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.5

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

New equipment/manufacturing process requiring higher water use.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Ramos

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

We do not track discharges, but estimate them to be about 90%

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Saltillo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.540925

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.920004

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

23.9

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

23.9

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.4

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

New RO Treatment System

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

*Not Verified our water data. We are prioritizing energy and emissions data first.
[Fixed row]*

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

We do not have this data but we intend to collect it within two years

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

2734943043

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

4125102.63

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

*This metric shows US dollars per volume of water used in cubic meters. We expect an increase in water withdrawal efficiency, or a general decrease in water use and consumption.
[Fixed row]*

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

All Products

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

8573

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

million dollars sales

(9.12.5) Comment

Individual customers can use this number to calculate their footprint based on a ratio of their purchase to our total company sales.

[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

- Federal Water Pollution Control Act / Clean Water Act (United States Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

- Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

Row 2

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

- Brazilian Regulatory Standards

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

- Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

Row 3

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

Annex XVII of EU REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

Row 4

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

Guidelines for Controlling the Use of Key Chemical Substances in Consumer Products (China Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

Row 5

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

- Official Mexican Standards (NOMs) / National Inventory of Chemical Substances

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

- Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

Row 6

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

- EU Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

- Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

We are developing a strategy to evaluate all lists and determine if any of the hazardous substances are contained in any of our products. By virtue of the nature of our products, the majority will not apply.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

Yes

(9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

Our data center products reduce water consumption. Our products utilize free cooling as a method to cool and reduce the need for water consumption. The Ramos and San Vito coatings locations have made investments in water reclamation projects that will be launched in FY2024.

(9.14.4) Please explain

Modine is working with data center and coatings customers to reduce overall water consumption.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]
Water withdrawals	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Focusing on water withdrawal reductions.
Other	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Focusing on water withdrawal reductions.

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water pollution

Reduction in water discharges per revenue

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

07/30/2025

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

03/31/2018

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

15043

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

03/31/2030

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

9026

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

8573

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved and maintained

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Water reduction at all manufacturing locations. No plants are being excluded from this goal.

(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Reverse Osmosis System at Ramos and Strategic Investments and Green Team Focus in our MTSI facility

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

Nothing additional.

[Add row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

	Targets in place	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Plastic parts and packaging are less than 3% of our total product footprint.

[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We don't produce plastics polymers.

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We don't produce durable plastics goods.

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

We use a limited amount of plastic components in our products (fans, circuit boards)

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We don't produce plastic packaging.

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

We use plastic packaging/ shrink wrap for some of our finished goods.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We do not provide services that use plastic goods.

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We do not provide waste management or water management services to other companies.

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

We do not provide financial products and services for plastic-related activities.

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

None

[Fixed row]

(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.

	Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)	Raw material content percentages available to report	Please explain
Durable goods and durable components used	0	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	We do not have quantitative data available for this.

[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

	Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)	Raw material content percentages available to report	Please explain
Plastic packaging used	0	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	We do not have quantitative data available for this.

[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential

Select all that apply

% technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable

100

(10.5.1.5) Please explain

We believe shrink wrap would technically be 100% recyclable but have not quantified this or explored the markets available. Many markets do not accept.

[Fixed row]

(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways.

Usage of plastic

(10.6.1) Total weight of waste generated during the reporting year (Metric tons)

0

(10.6.2) End-of-life management pathways available to report

Select all that apply

Recycling

Landfill

(10.6.4) % recycling

50

(10.6.8) % landfill

50

(10.6.12) Please explain

*A percentage of plastics used in our products can be recycled.
[Fixed row]*

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	Plan to assess in before September 2025.

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Not yet completed.	<i>We plan to have our environmental information verified by a third party as customer demands and regulations continue to advance in this area.</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Sustainability Program Manager

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

